

**EVALUATION SUMMARY**  
**IDS CONFERENCE**  
**SUNY OSWEGO**  
**August 5-6, 2008**  
(62 respondents)

Sixty respondents said the conference met their expectations. Two said it did not. Those two said it is on the right track but not quite organized or educational enough at this point, and lacks some professionalism and detailed planning.

**Most beneficial aspect of the conference**

The most frequent responses were networking with colleagues, sharing information, the Workflow Toolkit, the workshops, and the ILLiad update.

**Least beneficial aspect of the conference**

The most frequent responses were the Mentor/Mentee panel and not enough time for everything.

**Mentor/Mentee Panel**

Two-thirds of respondents rated this between "10" and "7". Several people thought it should be limited to a workshop for new, inexperienced ILLiad users.

**Faculty/Student Panel**

Over two-thirds of respondents rated this between "10" and "8". Several people said they would have liked a more varied representation of the disciplines.

**Wednesday afternoon breakout sessions**

44 respondents attended ILL staff session; 15 respondents attended Admin session. 47 respondents rated their session between "10" and "7". Most people thought the topics were good and the discussions lively and helpful. Many people said they were glad to see new faces.

**ILLiad Update**

18 respondents rated this a "10" and 24 respondents rated it a "9" or "8". People always enjoy Genie's presentations although a few complained that it went way over time, leaving less time for other sessions. On the whole, people are excited about moving to Ver. 8.0.

**WORKSHOPS**

The workshop sessions received very positive feedback, although some people said they would have liked to be able to attend more of them than they were able to, and some people thought they were too short. It would be best to get accurate number of attendees at each workshop from the presenters.

## **Workflow Toolkit**

This was the most popular workshop. Almost two-thirds of respondents rated it between "10" and "8".

## **ALIAS**

This was also very well-received and people were excited about it. Most respondents who attended rated it between "10" and "8".

## **Speed up Electronic Delivery/Odyssey Helper**

Also well-received and most who attended rated it between "10" and "8".

## **Copyright**

The few respondents who rated the copyright session made mostly positive comments.

## **ILLiad Billing Manager**

The few respondents who rated the Billing Manager session rated it between "9" and "8".

## **Netflix**

A lot of interest was generated at the Netflix session which was rated between "9" and "7", and had roughly 25 participants.

## **Tour of ILL Office**

Half of the 62 respondents did not take the ILL Office Tour. Those who did rated it between "10" and "4". Several people said there wasn't enough time to do it.

## **Suggested Topics for Future Conferences**

More workshops and ability to attend more than two workshops

Hands-on instruction for ILLiad

ILLiad FAQ session allowing for any questions by participants

"The certain fall-out from Ver. 8.0"

Split workflow discussions by size and/or type of library

LDAP/authentication

Purchase on Demand/Integration of ILL and Acquisitions functions

Generating/Running/Using ILLiad reports

Just for mentors: session to share stories "from the field"

More on Workflow Toolkit

A luncheon speaker

A mini ILLiad conference for those who can't afford both IDS & ILLiad conferences

How are directors using IDS Project data to reallocate (or confirm) resources

Anything to do with medical libraries

Pay-for-View articles/books for students  
Show library OCLC symbol on attendees' name tags (for easy identification by ILL staff)  
LAND discussion with Nylink/delivery tracking  
A 30-minute "Open Forum" at conference start  
Campus tour  
Opportunities to experience local attractions/sites

### **General Comments**

The overwhelming majority of respondents thought the conference was very well-organized and several said it was the best IDS Conference ever. Many complimented the many T-shirt clad students and staff who helped attendees negotiate the Campus Center. One IDS director said that "IDS is the most important development in libraries in New York in the last ten years. Several respondents commented on how gracious and helpful the Oswego Library staff were to participants.

### **Program**

Powerpoints shown in Orientation Session were repeated in afternoon session  
Campus IT was not helpful with technical issues but Library IT folks were very helpful  
Schedule was too tight—not enough time planned  
Separate librarians and clerks in breakout sessions  
Load all presentations on to one computer to save time between sessions  
Provide wireless microphones for speakers  
Improve the name tags  
Need to identify which presentations are important for everyone (i.e., Cyril's Resource Sharing presentation), not just for a few

### **Accommodations/Food**

Lack of check-in/check-out directions for dorms; no late-night check for Sunday night  
Enjoyed Johnson Hall—dorm rooms were beautiful  
More substantial food for the first day  
Disappointed in quality of food, long wait, and seating arrangements at Patz  
More easily available bottled water

### **Other Comments**

IDS could be marketed by have a brief paragraph on IDS website with some "testimonies" from participants. For non-participants, it might be helpful to hear how members re-tooled their workflow to accommodate increased ILL volume.